Timo Enqvist University of Oulu Oulu Southern institute

lecture cource on

Astroparticle physics

15.09.2009 - 15.12.2009



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

7 Dark matter

- ► 7.1 Evidence for dark matter
- 7.2 Dark matter candidates
- ► 7.3 Supersymmetry
- ► 7.4 Energy densities
- ► 7.5 Dark matter and galaxy formation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

► 7.6 Dark matter experiments

Evidence for dark matter – 1 – Coma galaxy cluster

- At the beginning of 1930's F. Zwicky observed that galaxies in the Coma cluster were moving too fast in order to remain (by gravity) in the cluster
 - something else, that cannot be seen, must be holding the galaxies together in the cluster
- More evidence until 40 years later (Vera Rubin)
 - the gas and stars in the outer parts of galaxies were moving too fast with respect to the observed mass

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 \implies rotation curve

Evidence for dark matter - 2 - rotation curves

- Rotation velocity measurements of star and galaxies as a function of distance
- Spiral galaxy has most of the luminous material concentrated in a central hub and a thin disk
- If only luminous material exist, then
 - for stars inside the hub $M(< r) \propto r^3$ and therefore $v \propto r$
 - for stars outside the hub $M \sim$ constant and $v \propto r^{-1/2}$
 - \Longrightarrow Velocity should increase at small distances and decrease at large distances
- It is observed, on the contrary, that the rotation curves are quite flat at large distances (halo)
 - \Longrightarrow the bulk of the galactic mass typically 80–90% would be in the form of dark (i.e. non-luminous) matter in the halo

Evidence for dark matter – 2 – rotation curves of galaxy NGC6503



7 Dark matter – 7.4 –

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Evidence for dark matter -2 - halo



ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

æ

Evidence for dark matter -3 - WMAP - 1



7 Dark matter – 7.6 –

Evidence for dark matter -3 - WMAP - 2



・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Evidence for dark matter - conclusion

- There is astrophysical and cosmological evidence that large quantities of dark matter must be hidden somewhere in the universe
 - the dynamics of galaxies and galactic clusters can only be understood if the dominating part of the gravitation is caused by non-luminous matter

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

What can the non-luminous matter be?

Dark matter candidates - terminology

- Baryonic dark matter
- Non-baryonic dark matter
- Baryonic dark matter
 - ordinary matter consisting of protons and neutrons
 - all that can or cannot be seen: interstellar dust, giant planets, 'old' stars (white, red and brown dwarfs), black holes, ...
 - MACHOs MAssive Compact Halo Objects
 - It is known to exist but explains only a tiny portion of dark matter

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Non-baryonic dark matter classified according to temperature in the early universe (decoupling)
 - cold dark matter
 - warm dark matter
 - hot dark matter

Dark matter candidates - non-baryonic dark matter

Hot dark matter

- relativistic particles
- example candidate: neutrinos
- \implies known to exist, but are hot
- \Longrightarrow masses not heavy enough and number not large enough to explain dark matter
- Warm dark matter
 - semi-relativistic particles
 - example candidates: keV-mass sterile neutrinos and gravitons
 - \implies not known
- Cold dark matter
 - non-relativistic particles
 - example candidates: neutralinos, axions, WIMPZILLAs, solitons (B-balls and Q-balls), etc
 - ⇒ the most popular hypothesis for dark matter: WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)

Supersymmetry - SUSY

- In supersymmetric theories each fermion (half-integer spin) is associated with a bosonic (integer spin) partner and each boson has a fermionic partner
 - squark, slepton, gluino, photino, zino, higgsino, neutralino, gravitino, ...
 - doubles the number of elementary particles
 - no SUSY particles have been found
- From accelerator experiments \implies masses higher than 100 GeV (100 m_p),
- Massive SUSY particle would decay to lighter SUSY particles, and eventually to the lightest superparticle

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- the lightest SUSY particle (LSP) would be stable
- The LSP is the most favourite candidate for WIMPs
 - neutralino

Energy densities - critical density

 A quantitative measure for the composition of the universe can be given by the critical density (ρ_c)

$$\rho_{\rm c} = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \approx 1.88 \times 10^{-26} \, h^2 \, \rm kg \cdot m^{-3} \approx 10.5 \, h^2 \, \rm keV \cdot c^{-2} \cdot cm^{-3},$$

where H_0 is the present value of the Hubble constant, h being the dimensioless form of H_0 in units of 100 km·s⁻¹·Mpc⁻¹ (the current xperimental value of h is ~0.7), and G is the gravitation constant

Any particular component is then given by

$$\Omega_{\mathsf{x}} = \frac{\rho_{\mathsf{x}}}{\rho_{\mathsf{c}}}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

7 Dark matter - 7.12 -

Energy densities - conclusion

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\text{TOT}} \approx 1 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{MATTER}} \approx 0.3 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{BARY}} \approx 0.05 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{LUM}} \approx 0.005 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{DARK}} \approx 0.05 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{NONB}} \approx 0.25 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{HOT}-\text{DM}} \approx 0.005 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{COLD}-\text{DM}} \approx 0.25 \\ & - \Omega_{\text{DARK}-\text{ENERGY}} \approx 0.7 \end{split}$$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

and Galaxy formation

- The question of galaxy formation is closely related with the problem of dark matter
- The models of galaxy formation depend very sensitively on whether the the universe is dominated by hot or cold dark matter
 - models assume galaxies have originated from (quantum) fluctuations which have developed to larger gravitational structures

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

two different scenarios can be distinguished: hot and cold dark matter

and Galaxy formation - hot dark matter

- Low-mass and relativistic neutrinos could easily escape from mass structures
- Fluctuations below a certain critical mass would have not grown to galaxies
 - \blacktriangleright for neutrinos of 20 eV a critical mass of ${\sim}10^{16}~M_{SUN}$ is required for the structure formation to set in
- "Top-down scenario"

– first largest structures (superclusters), then clusters and galaxies latest

- ▶ allows only small z values (z ≤ 1), but Hubble observations show that large galaxies exist for z ≥ 3
- This is one argument to exclude neutrinos as main dark matter component

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

and Galaxy formation - cold dark matter

- Massive, weakly interacting and mostly non-relativistic particles will be gravitationally bound already to mass fluctuations of smaller sizes
- If cold dark matter dominate, the models show that initially small mass structures collapse and can grow by further mass attractions to form galaxies
- "Bottom-up scenario"

 the galaxies would then develop to galactic clusters and later superclusters
 - cold dark matter then favours a scenario in which smaller structures would be formed first and then develop into larger structures
 - confirmed by observations of, for example, COBE and WMAP satellites

Dark matter experiments – WIMPs

Direct or indirect experiments

- Direct experiments for dark matter
 - scattering of a WIMP inside the detector material is observed
 - results in a low-energy recoil nucleus
 - WIMP rate may be expected to exhibit time dependence
- Indirect experiments for dark matter
 - detection of the annihilation products of WIMPs (high-energy neutrinos)
 - gravitational trapping of WIMPs at the core of the Sun or the Earth

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

large-volume Cherenkov detector etc

Dark matter experiments – WIMPs – direct experiments – 1

- ► The WIMP velocities are expected to be of the order of galactic excape velocities, $v_{\chi} \sim 10^{-3}c$ (non-relativistic)
- ▶ With $m_{\chi} \sim 100$ GeV the maximum energy of the recoil nucleus (of $A \sim 50$) of the detector is $E_{r,max} \sim 50$ keV
 - uniform distribution between 0 and E_{r,max}
 - very low-energy recoil \Longrightarrow difficult to observe
- Event rates in a detector varies depending whether the cross section is spin-dependent (incoherent) of coherent
 - $R \sim 1 \text{ event} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$ for coherent
 - $R \sim 0.01$ event \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot day⁻¹ for incoherent

For coherent scattering it is required that $A \ll 50$, where A is the detector nucleus mass number

• usually ⁷⁶Ge or ¹³¹Xe \implies large A and incoherent scattering

Dark matter experiments – WIMPs – direct experiments – 2

- Tens of experiments have been carried out and at least several are currently operational
 - detector masses from few 100 grams to few kilograms
 - most used also for double β-decay experimenst: MIBETA, EDELWEISS, H&M, IGEX, CUORICINO, ...
- Techniques
 - ionisation detectors (Ge), solid scintillation detectors (Nal/Csl), gryogenic detectors (Ge), noble gases as liquids (Xe)
- ► Low counting rates ⇒ extra care for background substraction
 - high-energy neutrons
- Future experiments aims to several hundreds of kilos of detector material

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

 GERDA, MAJORANA, EDELWEISS (Ge), ZEPLIN, XMASS-DM, XENON100 (LXe)

Dark matter experiments - WIMPs - direct experiments - results

